C/007/042 Fricoming CC: James



Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates

P.O. Box 10, East Carbon, Utah 84520 • (435) 888-4476 • Fax (435) 888-2538

April 15, 2013

Daron Haddock Utah Division of Oil, Gas & Mining 1594 W. North Temple, Suite 1210 Salt Lake City, Utah 84116 RECEIVED

APR 1 6 2013

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

RE: 1st Quarter 2013 Inspection Report Star Point Refuse Pile C/007/042

Dear Daron:

Please find enclosed a copy of the First Quarter 2013 Inspection Report for the Star Point refuse pile, impoundments, and excess spoil area.

Should you have any questions, please contact Rusty Netz or myself at (435)888-4476.

Thank You,

Richard Carter

Agent For

Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates

c.c. Rusty Netz Plant File

Permit Number:

C/007/042

Inspection Date: March 28, 2013

Mine Name:

Star Point Waste Fuel

First Quarter 2013

Mine Operator (Permittee):

Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates

Inspector: Rusty

Signature:

MSHA ID Number:

<u>N/A</u>

Impoundment Name:

Sediment Pond #005

UPDES Permit Number:

UTG040025

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION

1. Describe any appearance of any instability, structural weakness, or any other hazardous condition.

None

a. Sediment storage capacity, including elevation of 60% and 100% sediment storage volumes, and estimated average elevation of existing sediment.

Total Pond Volume = 6.96 Acre-feet

Pond bottom elevation = 7387.3

100% Sediment Storage Volume = 2.42 acre-feet at Elevation 7394.9

60% sediment Storage Volume = 1.45 acre feet at Elevation = 7393

Existing Average Sediment Elevation = 7392 +/-

b. Principle and emergency spillway elevations.

Primary Dewatering Orifice = 7394.9

Emergency Spillway Elevation = 7401.3

2. Field Information

Provide current water elevation, whether pond is discharging, type and number of samples taken, monitoring/instrumentation information, inlet/outlet conditions, or other related activities associated with the pond including but not limited to sediment cleanout, pond decanting, embankment erosion/repairs, monitoring information, vegetation on outslopes of embankments, etc.

Pond had some water.

No samples were taken

Sediment levels were reasonably low. Pond did not require decanting.

Embankment conditions were good. Vegetation on outslopes was adequate.

Inlet / Outlet conditions were good. No structural or hazardous conditions were observed.

3. Field Evaluation.

Describe any changes in the geometry of the impounding structure, average and maximum depths and elevation of impounded water, estimated sediment or slurry volume and remaining storage capacity, estimated volume of water impounded, and any other aspect of the impounding structure affecting its stability or function which has occurred during the reporting period

No recent changes in the geometry of the structure have been observed

Very little water was impounded

Sediment level was good.

No other aspects were observed to affect stability or functionality.

Sediment Pond 005

CERTIFIED REPORT IMPOUNDMENT EVALUATION

If you answer NO to these questions, please explain under comments

- 1. Is impoundment designed and constructed in accordance with the approved plan?

 YES

 2. Is impoundment free of instability, structural weakness, or any other hazardous conditions?

 YES
- 3. Has the impoundment met all applicable performance standards and effluent limitations

from the previous date of inspection? YES

COMMENTS/ OTHER INFORMATION

None

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT:

I hereby certify that: I am experienced in the construction of impoundments; I am qualified and authorized in the State of Utah to inspect and certify the condition and appearance of impoundments in accordance with the certified and approved designs for this structure; that the impoundment has been maintained in accordance with approved designs and meets or exceeds the minimum design requirements under all applicable federal, state and local regulations; and that inspections and inspection reports are made by myself or under my direction and include any appearances of instability, structural weakness or other hazardous conditions of the structure affecting stability in accordance with the Utah R645 Coal Mining Rules.

By: S. Scott Carlson, PE, Twin Peaks, P.C. P.E. Number & State: 187727 UTAH

Affix Signature, Stamp and Date

Page 2 of 8

Permit Number:

C/007/042

Inspection Date: March 28, 2013

Mine Name:

Star Point Waste Fuel

First Ouarter 2013

Mine Operator (Permittee):

Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates

Inspector: Rusty Netz

MSHA ID Number:

Impoundment Name:

Signature: Sediment Pond #006

UPDES Permit Number:

UTG040025

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION

1. Describe any appearance of any instability, structural weakness, or any other hazardous condition.

None

a. Sediment storage capacity, including elevation of 60% and 100% sediment storage volumes, and estimated average elevation of existing sediment.

Total Pond Volume = 2.6 Acre-feet

Pond bottom elevation = 7132.7

100% Sediment Storage Volume = 0.76 acre-feet at Elevation 7140.7

60% sediment Storage Volume = 0.45 acre feet at Elevation = 7138.8

Existing Average Sediment Elevation = 7135 +/-

b. Principle and emergency spillway elevations.

Primary Dewatering Orifice = 7140.7

Emergency Spillway Elevation = 7147.2

2. Field Information

Provide current water elevation, whether pond is discharging, type and number of samples taken, monitoring/instrumentation information, inlet/outlet conditions, or other related activities associated with the pond including but not limited to sediment cleanout, pond decanting, embankment erosion/repairs, monitoring information, vegetation on outslopes of embankments, etc.

Pond had some water.

No samples were taken

Sediment levels were reasonably low. Pond did not require decanting.

Embankment conditions were good. Vegetation on outslopes was adequate.

Inlet / Outlet conditions were good. No structural or hazardous conditions were observed.

3. Field Evaluation.

Describe any changes in the geometry of the impounding structure, average and maximum depths and elevation of impounded water, estimated sediment or slurry volume and remaining storage capacity, estimated volume of water impounded, and any other aspect of the impounding structure affecting its stability or function which has occurred during the reporting period

No recent changes in the geometry of the structure have been observed

Very little water was impounded

Sediment level was good.

No other aspects of the impounding structure were observed that could affect its stability or functionality.

Sediment Pond 006

CERTIFIED REPORT IMPOUNDMENT EVALUATION

If you answer NO to these questions, please explain under comments

YES 1. Is impoundment designed and constructed in accordance with the approved plan?

2. Is impoundment free of instability, structural weakness, or any other hazardous conditions? 3. Has the impoundment met all applicable performance standards and effluent limitations

YES from the previous date of inspection?

COMMENTS/ OTHER INFORMATION

None

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT:

I hereby certify that: I am experienced in the construction of impoundments; I am qualified and authorized in the State of Utah to inspect and certify the condition and appearance of impoundments in accordance with the certified and approved designs for this structure; that the impoundment has been maintained in accordance with approved designs and meets or exceeds the minimum design requirements under all applicable federal, state and local regulations; and that inspections and inspection reports are made by myself or under my direction and include any appearances of instability, structural weakness or other hazardous conditions of the structure affecting stability in accordance with the Utah R645 Coal Mining Rules.

S. Scott Carlson, PE, Twin Peaks, P.C. By:

P.E. Number & State: 187727 UTAH

Permit Number:

C/007/042

Inspection Date: March 28, 2013

Signature:

Mine Name:

Star Point Waste Fuel

First Quarter 2013

Mine Operator (Permittee):

Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates

Inspector: Rusty Netz

MSHA ID Number:

N/A

Impoundment Name:

Sediment Pond #009

UPDES Permit Number:

UTG040025

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION

1. Describe any appearance of any instability, structural weakness, or any other hazardous condition.

None

a. Sediment storage capacity, including elevation of 60% and 100% sediment storage volumes, and estimated average elevation of existing sediment.

Total Pond Volume = 7.4 Acre-feet

Pond bottom elevation = 7435.0

100% Sediment Storage Volume = 2.02 acre-feet at Elevation 7439.3

60% sediment Storage Volume = 1.21 acre feet at Elevation = 7437.7

Existing Average Sediment Elevation = 7437 +/-

b. Principle and emergency spillway elevations.

Primary Dewatering Orifice = 7439.8

Primary Spillway Elevation = 7445.5

Emergency Spillway Elevation = 7446.5

2. Field Information

Provide current water elevation, whether pond is discharging, type and number of samples taken, monitoring/instrumentation information, inlet/outlet conditions, or other related activities associated with the pond including but not limited to sediment cleanout, pond decanting, embankment erosion/repairs, monitoring information, vegetation on outslopes of embankments, etc.

Pond had some water. No samples were taken. Pond did not require decanting.

Sediment levels were reasonable.

Embankment conditions were good. Vegetation on outslopes was adequate.

Inlet / Outlet conditions were good. No structural or hazardous conditions were observed.

3. Field Evaluation.

Describe any changes in the geometry of the impounding structure, average and maximum depths and elevation of impounded water, estimated sediment or slurry volume and remaining storage capacity, estimated volume of water impounded, and any other aspect of the impounding structure affecting its stability or function which has occurred during the reporting period

No recent changes in the geometry of the structure have been observed

Very little water was impounded

Sediment level was good.

No other aspects of the impounding structure were observed that could affect its stability or functionality.

Sediment Pond 009

CERTIFIED REPORT IMPOUNDMENT EVALUATION

If you answer NO to these questions, please explain under comments

1. Is impoundment designed and constructed in accordance with the approved plan?

YES

2. Is impoundment free of instability, structural weakness, or any other hazardous conditions? $\underline{\underline{Y}}$

3. Has the impoundment met all applicable performance standards and effluent limitations from the previous date of inspection?

YES

COMMENTS/ OTHER INFORMATION

None

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT:

I hereby certify that: I am experienced in the construction of impoundments; I am qualified and authorized in the State of Utah to inspect and certify the condition and appearance of impoundments in accordance with the certified and approved designs for this structure; that the impoundment has been maintained in accordance with approved designs and meets or exceeds the minimum design requirements under all applicable federal, state and local regulations; and that inspections and inspection reports are made by myself or under my direction and include any appearances of instability, structural weakness or other hazardous conditions of the structure affecting stability in accordance with the Utah R645 Coal Mining Rules.

By: S. Scott Carlson, PE, Twin Peaks, P.C.

P.E. Number & State: 187727 UTAH

QUARTERLY INSPECTION FORM – REFUSE PILE

ermit Number:		C/007/042				Inspecti	on Date	: March			
Mine Name:			Star Point Was	te Fuel					First Qu		<u>r 2013</u>
Mine Operator (Permittee):			Sunnyside Cog	eneration	on Asso	ociates	Ins	spector:	Rusty N	etz	
			Abandoned by	MSHA	Jan 20	04	Sig	gnature:	B.17		nit
facility Name:			Coarse Refuse	Pile					1000	\mathscr{A}	(IW)
	,										1
1.	Describe	any changes in the	geometry of the struc	ture (as w	ell as inst	rumentatio	on, if any, u	used to mo	nitor change	s): R (<u>efuse</u>
	materia	al is actively be	ing excavated an	d remo	ved from	m locatio	ons acro	ss the to	p of the p	<u>ile</u>	
2.	Lift Heig	ht / Thickness Avg	15 Maximum	25	Elevation	of Active	Benches:	approxi	mately 74	80-7	<u>500</u>
3.	Vertical angle of outslope(s) / Location(s) where measured max 2:1 North, East and South faces										
4.	Current estimated volume: approx 3.5 Million Tons Volume removed during year: 2012: approx. 286,478 tons										
5.	Describe	Describe foundation preparation, (including the removal of vegetation, stumps, topsoil, and all organic material): NA									
6.	Describe Placement and compaction of fill materials (including an explanation of how compaction is confirmed): N/A										
	Activit	ies occurring at	t this time are as	sociated	l with r	emoval o	of refuse	materia	<u>ll</u>		1
7.			s or burning on the str	ructure? (if Yes, sp	ecify exten	nt, location	, and abat	ement / extin	guish	ment of such
	fires): No evidence of fires observed Describe placement of underdrains and protective filter systems, and final surface drainage systems (report any seepage, including										
8.	Describe	placement of under	rdrains and protective	filter sys	tems, and	nnai suria	ce dramag	n place	No soons	ochago	visible
	location,	color, flow): No u	nderdrains exist	. Curre	ent Suri	ace uran	nage is i	no No o	nocts of t	ho F	ill
9.	Describe	any appearances of	instability, structura ed that could aff	weaknes	s, and our	er nazardo	us condine	or which	h indicate	d ha	<u>m</u> zardons
			ed that could all	ect its s	tability	or runce	попанту	or which	<u> Indicate</u>	и па	Zaruous
10	Conditi	ons rovide any other info	ormation pertaining to	the stabi	lity of the	structure ((attach any	photos tal	en during th	e insp	ection)
10.	a.		ks or scarps in crest?	o tiro otao.	NO	none ob					,
	b.		able sloughing or bul	ging?	NO _	none ob					
	c.	Do slope erosion p		88-	NO _			n gullies	exist on 1	the o	uter
	-		rrently appear st	able							
	d.	Cracks or scarps in			NO	none ob	bserved				
	e.		ts? (valley bottom, hi	llsides)	NO _	none ob	bserved				
	f.	Erosion of Toe?			NO _	none ob	bserved				
	g.	Water impounded	by structure?		NO	none ob	bserved				
	h.	Are diversion ditc	hes stable?		YES_	appear	s reason	able			
	i.	Is drainage positiv	re?		YES_				culverts &		
	j.	No. 10 No									
		vicinity									
	k.	Are design standar	rds established within	the mini	ng and rec	lamation p	olan for the	disposal f	acility being	met?	<u>Yes</u>
	1.	Proctor Determina	ation: none requir	<u>ed</u>							

I hereby certify that: I am experienced in the construction of earth and rock fills; I am qualified and authorized in the State of Utah to inspect and certify the condition and appearance of earth and rock fills in accordance with the certified and approved designs for this structure; that the fill structure has been maintained in accordance with the approved design and meets or exceeds the minimum design requirements under all applicable federal, state, and local regulations; and, that inspections and inspection reports are made by myself or under my direction and include any appearances of instability, structural weakness or other hazardous conditions of the structure affecting stability.

By: S. Scott Carlson, PE, Twin Peaks, P.C. P.E. Number & State: 187727 UTAH



INSPECTION AND CERTIFIED REPORT ON EXCESS SPOIL PILE OR REFUSE PILE

ON EXC	LESS SPUIL PI	LE UK KEFUSI	LPILE						
Permit Nu	mber:	C/007/042		Inspection Date: March 28, 2013					
Mine Nam	ie:	Star Point Waste Fuel First Quarter 2013							
Mine Oper	rator (Permittee):	Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates Inspector: Rusty Netz							
MSHA ID Number:		NA		Signature:	Rusta Net				
Facility Name:		Disposal Area							
1 delitey 1 to) (
1. Desc	cribe any changes in the	geometry of the structure (a	s well as instrumentati	on, if any, used to mor	nitor changes): No materia				
		osal area during the							
		40-60 ft Maximum		n of Active Benches: a	pproximately 7480				
	14								
	Total storage capacity: 145K cuyd Remaining storage capacity estimated 140K cuyd Volume placed during year: 0								
		ion, (including the removal							
ma	terial is removed as	needed. No topsoil	existed since this v	was a previously o	listurbed location				
					confirmed): Material is				
gen	generally granular by nature so it is placed, spread by dozer and compacted by wheel rolling								
			? (if Yes, specify exte	nt, location, and abate	ement / extinguishment of such				
fires	s): No evidence of fir	res observed							
	report any seepage, including								
loca	ation, color, flow): No un	olor, flow): No underdrains exist. Surface drainage flows to adjacent ditches and to Sediment							
Por	nd #009. No seepag	<u>e is visible</u>							
9. Des	cribe any appearances of	instability, structural weak	ness, and other hazardo	ous conditions No as	pects of the Fill				
str	structure were observed that could affect its stability or functionality or which indicated hazardous								

conditions

10. Please provide any other information pertaining to the stability of the structure (attach any photos taken during the inspection)

a.	Are there any cracks or scarps in crest?	NO _	none observed
b.	Is there any detectable sloughing or bulging?	NO _	none observed
c.	Do slope erosion problems exist?	NO_	erosion conditions are minimal
d.	Cracks or scarps in slope?	NO_	none observed
e.	Surface movements? (valley bottom, hillsides)	NO _	none observed
f.	Erosion of Toe?	NO	none observed
g.	Water impounded by structure?	NO_	none observed
h.	Are diversion ditches stable?	YES	appears reasonable
i.	Is drainage positive?	YES	surface runoff flows to collection ditches
	5 1	_	

- j. Could failure of structure create an impoundment (provide description)? No surface water flows exist in the vicinity
- k. Are design standards established within the mining and reclamation plan for the disposal facility being met? Yes
- 1. Proctor Determination: **none required**
- 11. Provide copies of sample analysis for material placed in the fill. No new material has been placed in this disposal area for several years.

I hereby certify that: I am experienced in the construction of earth and rock fills; I am qualified and authorized in the State of Utah to inspect and certify the condition and appearance of earth and rock fills in accordance with the certified and approved designs for this structure; that the fill structure has been maintained in accordance with the approved design and meets or exceeds the minimum design requirements under all applicable federal, state, and local regulations; and, that inspections and inspection reports are made by myself or under my direction and include any appearances of instability, structural weakness or other hazardous conditions of the structure affecting stability.

By: S. Scott Carlson, PE, Twin Peaks, P.C. P.E. Number & State: 187727 UTAH